



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/05/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Roger N. Hastings	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 5236-000215	CONFIRMATION NO. 5479
09/777,018					
Harness, Dicke	7590	01/03/2007	EXAMINER BRANDT, ADAM CURTIS		
Suite 400					
7700 Bonhomme St. Louis, MO 63105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3771	
			•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				01/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_
2	١

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,018	HASTINGS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	· · · ·
Adam Brandt	3771	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 34-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13.

⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Adam Brandt

Continuation of 13. Other: The Applicant argues that the finality of the previous office action mailed 11/13/2006 was not appropriate because the Examiner did not satisfy the requirements of MPEP 706.07. In particular the Applicant cites that the Examiner did not address the reasons for rejection of dependent claim 43. The Examiner directs the Applicant to page 5 where the rejection for dependent claim 43 is presented. Furthermore, the Examiner directs the Applicant to page 9, second full paragraph, where the arguments for dependent claim 43 are addressed. The Applican did not make any specific arguments directed toward dependent claim 43. Instead, the Applicant's arguments were focused on the Independent claims 34, 35, and 39 which had already been addressed in pages 7-9 of the office action.

The Applicant further arugues that the final office action did not specifically recite the teaching of an optical conduit that conducts ablating engergy to the distal end. Conlan teaches a the tip of catheter has an ablation instrument that is supplied with energy via an optical fiber. It is inherently known from the disclosure that optical fibers act as conduits which carry light and that the present ablating tool operates on condensed light energy (laser). For the ablating tool to be conventionally and reasonably utilized, it herently conducts energy to the distal end. Therefore, the Applicant's arugements are not found persuasive and the finality of the previous office action is deemed appropriate.

TEENA MITCHELL